

雅思学术类阅读实例（一）PDF转换可能丢失图片或格式，
建议阅读原文

https://www.100test.com/kao_ti2020/176/2021_2022__E9_9B_85_E6_80_9D_E5_AD_A6_E6_c6_176988.htm 请运用上辑中所述策略，

感受下面这篇雅思阅读及其解题步骤和思路。由于做雅思阅读不需要通篇逐字逐句的精读，黑体字凸显出来的均是摘所录文章需阅读的内容（原文的首段、末段及其他各段的中心句/关键句），其中已囊括解题所需的全部信息。雅思阅读的技巧性可见一斑。做下面这篇阅读时，必备的雅思阅读技巧：总览全文(skimming)，把握作者写作意图、文章大意和结构；不要逐字逐句读，不需要弄懂每字每句的意思；看清题目要求，找key words, 回原文定位(scanning)；定位key words后，精读相关句子或段落(intensive reading)；控制好时间，先易后难

I. READING PASSAGEA Workaholic

Economy1FOR THE first century or so of the industrial revolution, increased productivity led to decreases in working hours. Employees who had been putting in 12-hour days, six days a week, found their time on the job shrinking to 10 hours daily, then, finally to eight hours, five days a week. Only a generation ago social planners worried about what people would do with all this new-found free time. In the US, at least, it seems they need not have bothered.

2Although the output per hour of work has more than doubled since 1945,leisure seems reserved largely for the unemployed and underemployed. Those who work full-time spend as much time on the job as they did at the end of World War II. In fact, working hours have increased noticeably since 1970perhaps because real wages have

stagnated that year. Bookstores now abound with manuals describing how to manage time and cope with stress.³ There are several reasons for lost leisure. Since 1970, companies have responded to improvements in the business climate by having employees work overtime rather than by hiring extra personnel, says economist Juliet B. Schor of Harvard University. Indeed, the current economic recovery has gained a certain amount of notoriety for its jobless nature: increased production has been almost entirely decoupled from employment. Some firms are even down sizing as their profits climb. All things being equal, we'd be better off spreading around the work, observes labour economist Ronald G. Ehrenberg of Cornell University.⁴ Yet a host of factors pushes employers to hire fewer workers for more hours and, at the same time, compels workers to spend more time on the job. Most of those incentives involve what Ehrenberg calls the structure of compensation: quirks in the way salaries and benefits are organized that make it more profitable to ask 40 employees to labour an extra hour each than to hire one more worker to do the same 40-hour job.⁵ Professional and managerial employees supply the most obvious lesson along these lines. Once people are on salary, their cost to a firm is the same whether they spend 35 hours a week in the office or 70. Diminishing returns may eventually set in as overworked employees lose efficiency or leave for more arable pastures. But in the short run, the employers incentive is clear.⁶ Even hourly employees receive benefits --- such as pension and contributions and medical insurance --- that are not tied to the number of hours they work. Therefore, it is more profitable for

employers to work their existing employees harder.⁷ For all that employees complain about long hours, they, too, have reasons not to trade money for leisure. People who work reduced hours pay a huge penalty in career terms, Schor maintains. It's taken as a negative signal about their commitment to the firm. [Lotte] Bailyn [of Massachusetts Institute of Technology] adds that many corporate managers find it difficult to measure the contribution of their underlings to a firm's well-being, so they use the number of hours worked as a proxy for output. Employees know this, she says, and they adjust their behavior accordingly.⁸ Although the image of the good worker is the one whose life belongs to the company, Bailyn says, it doesn't fit the facts. She cites both quantitative and qualitative studies that show increased productivity for part-time workers: they make better use of the time they have, and they are less likely to succumb to fatigue in stressful jobs. Companies that employ more workers for less time also gain from the resulting redundancy, she asserts. The extra people can cover the contingencies that you know are going to happen, such as when crises take people away from the workplace. Positive experiences with reduced hours have begun to change the more-is-better culture at some companies, Schor reports.⁹ Larger firms, in particular, appear to be more willing to experiment with flexible working arrangements.¹⁰ It may take even more than changes in the financial and cultural structures of employment for workers successfully to trade increased productivity and money for leisure time, Schor contends. She says the U.S. market for goods has become skewed by the assumption of full-time, two-career

households. Automobile makers no longer manufacture cheap models, and developers do not build the tiny bungalows that served the first post-war generation of home buyers. Not even the humblest household object is made without a microprocessor. As Schor notes, the situation is a curious inversion of the appropriate technology vision that designers have had for developing countries: U.S. goods are appropriate only for high incomes and long hours.